



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/724,623	12/02/2003	Richard A. Hall	87334.5660	2002

7590                    09/17/2008  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
Washington Square  
Suite 1100  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER
----------

NORTON, JENNIFER L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2121	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
09/17/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/724,623	HALL, RICHARD A.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jennifer L. Norton	2121	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 May 2008.  
 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 December 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. The following is a **Final Office Action** in response to the Amendment received on 12 May 2008. Claims 2-4, 6, 13, 15, 24, 29, 31, 37 and 38 have been amended. Claims 1-38 are pending in this application.

### ***Claim Objections***

2. The amendment to the Claims was received on 12 May 2008. The correction is acceptable and the objection is withdrawn.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

5. The term "approximately" in claims 24 and 37 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "approximately" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the

invention. Hence, the limitation "the same time" is rendered indefinite by use the term "approximately" in claims 24 and 37.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1-12, 14-23, 25-29, 30-36 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,926,387 (hereinafter Furst).

8. As per claim 1, Yagi teaches a user interface to select a desired file/folder from a set of file(s)/folder(s) corresponding to compatible file/folder for use in a file handling device (col. 1, lines 6-16), the user interface comprising:

a home menu (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6) to access a previously selected file(s)/folder(s) (col. 9, lines 14-21 and Fig. 6, element 52 and "recently accessed file" section); and

an add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A, via the selection of "Open") to add the desired file(s)/folder(s) in response to the desired file(s)/folder(s) being absent from the home menu, wherein the add menu includes the set of files/folders (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a centrifuge and rotors.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

9. As per claim 2, Yagi teaches as set forth above the user interface according to claim 1, further comprising:

a display (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) to present the home menu (Fig. 6) and the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open"), the home menu (Fig. 6) including a plurality of home options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section), the home options including

the previously selected rotor (col. 9, lines 8-11 and Fig. 6, element 52 and “recently accessed file” section) and an add function (Fig. 8 via the selection of “Open”),

wherein the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of “Open”) including the rotors being stored in memory (col. 6, lines 56-60) and displayed according to the previous selection state of the rotors (col. 10, lines 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-9).

10. As per claim 3, Yagi teaches as set forth above the user interface according to claim 2, further comprising:

a key to navigate the home menu and the add menu (col. 1, lines 41-43), the key being configured to generate a signal in response to being engaged (col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open),

wherein the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A, via the selection of “Open”) includes a first subset of compatible rotors (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6) and second subset of compatible rotors( col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of “Open”), where an entry of a compatible rotor is moved (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13) from the second subset (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of “Open”) to the first subset according to the selection of the desired rotor (Fig. 6).

11. As per claim 4, Yagi teaches as set forth above the user interface according to claim 3, further comprising:

a memory to store a run parameter (col. 6, lines 16-30 and Fig. 2, element 12);

and

a processor (Fig. 2, element 11) to control the display and receive signals from the key (col. 6, lines 25-30), the processor being configured to:

control the display to initially present the home menu (col. 6, lines 34-54, col. 15-20, Fig. 3, element 21 and Fig. 4(B), element 44; i.e. a setting means for displaying the desired home menu);

store the previously selected rotor to the run parameter (col. 6, lines 55-60, i.e. store to Fig. 6, element 52 and “recently accessed file” section) in response to a selection event while the previously selected rotor is being displayed (col. 10, lines 39-49 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-9 and Fig. 9A and 9B);

control the display to present the add menu in response to the add function being selected (col. 10, lines 25-49); and

move a newly selected rotor of the set of rotors to the home menu (Fig. 9B) from the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of “Open”) and store the newly selected rotor to the run parameter in response to the selection event (col. 6, lines 56-60) while the newly selected rotor is being displayed (col. 10, lines 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-9).

Art Unit: 2121

12. As per claim 5, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to control the display to scroll through the plurality of home options while the display is presenting the home menu and in response to the signal (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and “recently accessed file” section; i.e. the user moves from one home option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the home options).

13. As per claim 6, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to control the display to scroll through a plurality of type options while the display is presenting the add menu and in response to the signal (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13; i.e. the user moves from one type option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the type options).

14. As per claim 7, Yagi does not expressly teach the key is a down key and the processor is configured to control the display to scroll in a forward manner through the home menu or add menu in response to the signal.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

15. As per claim 8, Yagi does not expressly teach the key is an up key and the processor is configured to control the display to scroll in a reverse manner through the home menu or add menu in response to the signal.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the

specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

16. As per claim 9, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to determine the selection event has occurred (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

17. As per claim 10, Yagi teaches as set forth above a select key (col. 1, lines 41-43) configured to generate a select signal in response to being engaged, wherein the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to the select signal (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

18. As per claim 11, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to a predetermined amount of time has elapsed since last receiving the signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2121

19. As per claim 12, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to receiving a start run signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

20. As per claim 14, Yagi teaches as set forth above the add menu further comprises a plurality of type menus to subdivide the set of rotors (Fig. 10; i.e. divided into a plurality of files) into a plurality of respective type options (Fig. 10; i.e. divided into a plurality of different folders), the processor the configured to control the display to present the plurality of type menus (col. 11, lines 41-48).

21. As per claim 15, Yagi teaches an apparatus to select a desired file/folder from a set of files(s)/folder(s) compatible for use in a file handling device (col. 1, lines 6-16), the apparatus comprising:

a display (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) to present a home menu (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6) and an add menu (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of "Open"),  
the home menu (Fig. 6) including a plurality of home menu options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section),

the home menu options including a previously selected files(s)/folder(s) (col. 9, lines 8-12 and Fig. 6, element 52 and "recently accessed file" section)

and an add function (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open"), the add menu including a plurality of add menu options (col. 10, lines 25-39 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4), the plurality of add menu options including the set of files(s)/folder(s) (col. 10, lines 25-39 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4); a key to navigate the home menu and the add menu (col. 1, lines 41-43), the key being configured to generate a signal in response to being engaged (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4); a memory to store a run parameter (col. 6, lines 16-30 and Fig. 2, element 12); and a processor (Fig. 2, element 11) to control the display and receive the signal from the key (col. 6, lines 25-30), the processor being configured to: control the display to initially present the home menu (col. 6, lines 34-54, col. 15-20, Fig. 3, element 21 and Fig. 4(B), element 44; i.e. a setting means for displaying the desired home menu); store the previously selected files/folder to the run parameter (col. 6, lines 55-60, i.e. store to Fig. 6, element 52 and "recently accessed file" section) in response to a selection event while the previously selected file/folder is being displayed (col. 10, lines 39-49 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-9 and Fig. 9A and 9B); control the display to present the add menu in response to the add function being selected (col. 10, lines 25-49); and

move a newly selected file/folder of the set of files(s)/folder(s) to the home menu (Fig. 9B) from the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open") and store the newly selected file/folder to the run parameter in response to the selection event (col. 6, lines 56-60) while the newly selected file/folder is being displayed (col. 10, lines 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-9).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a centrifuge and rotors.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

Art Unit: 2121

22. As per claim 16, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to control the display to scroll through the plurality of home menu options while the display is presenting the home menu and in response to the signal (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section; i.e. the user moves from one home option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the home options).

23. As per claim 17, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to control the display to scroll through the plurality of add menu options while the display is presenting the add menu and in response to the signal (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13; i.e. the user moves from one type option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options).

24. As per claim 18, Yagi does not expressly teach the key is a down key and the processor is configured to control the display to scroll in a forward manner through the home menu or add menu in response to the signal.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

25. As per claim 19, Yagi teaches does not expressly teach the key is an up key and the processor is configured to control the display to scroll in a reverse manner through the home menu or add menu in response to the signal.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a key and processor configured to control a display by navigation through a menu in response to the key's output to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the

specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

26. As per claim 20, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is further configured to determine the selection event has occurred (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

27. As per claim 21, Yagi teaches as set forth above a select key (col. 1, lines 41-43) configured to generate a select signal in response to being engaged, wherein the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to the select signal (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

28. As per claim 22, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to a predetermined amount of time has elapsed since last receiving the signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2121

29. As per claim 23, Yagi teaches as set forth above the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to receiving a start run signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

30. As per claim 25, Yagi teaches an apparatus for providing a user interface to a user for the user to select a desired file/folder from a set of file(s)/folder(s) compatible for use in a file handling device (col. 1, lines 6-16), the apparatus comprising:

means for displaying (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) a home menu option of a plurality of home menu options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and “recently accessed file” section) in a home menu (Fig. 6), the home menu options including a previously selected file/folder (col. 9, lines 8-11, and Fig. 6, element 52 and “recently accessed file” section) and an add function (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of “Open”);

means for storing the previously selected file/folder to a run parameter (col. 6, lines 55-60, i.e. store to Fig. 6, element 52 and “recently accessed file” section) in response to a selection event while the previously selected file/folder is being displayed (col. 10, lines 39-49 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-9 and Fig. 9A and 9B);

means for displaying (col. 1, lines 41-43) an add menu option (col. 10, lines 25-40 and lines 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of “Open”) of a plurality of add menu options (col. 10, lines 25-39 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4)

in an add menu in response to a selection event while the add function is being displayed (col. 10, lines 25-49 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4); and

means for moving a newly selected file/folder of the set of file(s)/folder(s) to the home menu (Fig. 9B) from the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open") and means for storing the newly selected file/folder to the run parameter in response to the selection event (col. 6, lines 56-60) while the newly selected file/folder is being displayed (col. 10, lines 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-9).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a centrifuge and rotors.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

Art Unit: 2121

31. As per claim 26, Yagi teaches as set forth above a means for scrolling (i.e. the user moves from one home option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the home options) through the plurality of home menu options while displaying the home menu in response to the selection event (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and “recently accessed file” section).

32. As per claim 27, Yagi teaches as set forth above a means for scrolling (i.e. the user moves from one type option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options) through the plurality of add menu options while displaying the add menu in response to the selection event (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13).

33. As per claim 28, Yagi teaches as set forth above a means (i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open) for determining the selection event has occurred (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14).

34. As per claim 29, Yagi teaches an apparatus for selecting an option from a set of options, the apparatus comprising:

means for providing a first subset of options from which to select the option (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6), the first subset of options configured to

include at least one option of the set of options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section);

means for interfacing (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) configured to provide a scrolling means for scrolling through the first subset of options and a selecting means for selecting the option (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section; i.e. the user moves from one option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options); and

means for providing a second subset of options configured to include a remainder of option corresponding to the set of options minus the first subset of option (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of "Open"),

wherein the interfacing means (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) is further configured to provide a capability of scrolling through the second subset of options (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13; i.e. the user moves from one type option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options) and moving an option from the second subset of options (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open") to the first subset of options (Fig. 6).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a motorized device.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

35. As per claim 30, Yagi teaches a method of providing a user interface to a user for the user to select a desired file/folder from a files(s)/folder(s) compatible for use in a file handling device (col. 1, lines 6-16), the method comprising:

displaying (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) a home menu option of a plurality of home menu options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section) in a home menu (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6), the home menu options including previously selected

Art Unit: 2121

files(s)/folder(s) (col. 9, lines 8-12 and Fig. 6, element 52 and "recently accessed file" section);

storing the previously selected previously selected files(s)/folder(s) to a run parameter (col. 6, lines 55-60, i.e. store to Fig. 6, element 52 and "recently accessed file" section) in response to a selection event while the previously selected files(s)/folder(s) is being displayed (col. 10, lines 39-49 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-9 and Fig. 9A and 9B);

displaying an add menu option of a plurality of add menu options (col. 10, lines 25-39 and 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-4) in an add menu (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of "Open") in response to a selection event while the add function is being displayed (col. 10, lines 25-49); and

moving a newly selected file/folder of the set of files(s)/folder(s) to the home menu (Fig. 9B) from the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open") and storing the newly selected file/folder to the run parameter in response to the selection event (col. 6, lines 56-60) while the newly selected file/folder is being displayed (col. 10, lines 57-67 and col. 11, lines 1-9).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a centrifuge and rotors.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

36. As per claim 31, Yagi teaches as set forth above scrolling through the plurality of home menu options while displaying the home menu in response to the selection event (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and "recently accessed file" section; i.e. the user moves from one home option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the home options),

wherein the add menu (Fig. 8 and 9A, via the selection of "Open") includes a first subset of compatible rotors (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6) and second subset of compatible rotors( col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4 and Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of "Open"), where an entry of a compatible rotor is moved (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13) from the second subset (Fig. 8 and 9A

via the selection of “Open”) to the first subset according to the selection of the desired rotor (Fig. 6).

37. As per claim 32, Yagi teaches as set forth above scrolling through the plurality of add menu options while displaying the add menu in response to the selection event (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13; i.e. the user moves from one type option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options).

38. As per claim 33, Yagi teaches as set forth above determining the selection event has occurred (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

39. As per claim 34, Yagi teaches as set forth above the selection event is determined to have occurred in response to a select signal (col. 6, lines 25-30, col. 9, lines 18-21 and col. 13, lines 1-14; i.e. the selection of a recently accessed file from the menu will open).

40. As per claim 35, Yagi teaches as set forth above the selection event is determined to have occurred in response to in response to a predetermined amount of time having elapsed since last receiving a signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

Art Unit: 2121

41. As per claim 36, Yagi teaches as set forth above the selection event is determined to have occurred in response to receiving a start run signal (col. 6, lines 25-30).

42. As per claim 38, Yagi teaches a method of selecting an option from a set of options, the method comprising:

providing a first subset of options from which to select the option (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-2 and Fig. 6), the first subset of options configured to include at least one option of the set of options (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and “recently accessed file” section);

providing an interface (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) configured to provide a capability of scrolling through the first subset of options and selecting the option (col. 8, lines 63-67, col. 9, lines 1-4 and Fig. 6, element 51-54 and “recently accessed file” section; i.e. the user moves from one option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options); and

providing a second subset of options configured to include a remainder of option corresponding to the set of options minus the first subset of options (col. 10, lines 25-40 and 57-67, col. 11, lines 1-4, Fig. 8 and Fig. 9A via the selection of “Open”),

wherein the interface (col. 6, lines 21-24 and Fig. 2, element 18) is further configured to provide a capability of scrolling through the second subset of options (col. 13, lines 43-61 and Fig. 13; i.e. the user moves from one type

option to another using a mouse (Fig. 2, element 17), hence scrolling through the options) and moving an option from the second subset of options (Fig. 8 and 9A via the selection of "Open") to the first subset of options (Fig. 6).

Yagi does not expressly teach to a centrifuge.

Furst teaches to a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor (col. 4, lines 57-62 and col. 6, lines 16-22).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include a system and method for operating a centrifuge that includes querying the operator of the centrifuge for information which includes the selection of a rotor to provide the advantage on the basis of information provided by the user, the system will compute the operational parameters of the centrifuge for the specified run and operate the centrifuge to perform the selected experiment which further limits the experimenter being burdened with unnecessary detail, which tends to be distracting and therefore inefficient (col. 2, lines 51-56).

43. Claims 13, 24 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yagi in view of Furst in further view of U.S. Patent No. 5,358,343 (hereinafter Klauber).

Art Unit: 2121

44. As per claim 13, Yagi does not expressly teach the key includes a down key and an up key and the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to receiving the signal from the down key and the up key at the same time.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by selection of a menu item in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Furst does not expressly teach to two keys to the simultaneous activation of two keys at essentially the same time.

Klauber teaches to the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys (col. 11, lines 55-60).

Klauber teaches the claimed invention except for includes a down key and an up key. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use include both a down key and an up key, since Applicant has not disclosed that a down key and an up key solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the down key and an up key.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include the

Art Unit: 2121

simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys to provide an improved, easier, more efficient, more ergonomic method for activation of keys (col. 1, lines 19-28).

45. As per claim 24, Yagi does not expressly teach the key includes a down key and an up key and the processor is configured to determine the selection event has occurred in response to receiving the signal from the down key and the up key at approximately the same time.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by selection of a menu item in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Furst does not expressly teach to two keys to the simultaneous activation of two keys at essentially the same time.

Klauber teaches to the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys (col. 11, lines 55-60).

Klauber teaches the claimed invention except for includes a down key and an up key. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use include both a

down key and an up key, since Applicant has not discloses that a down key and an up key solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the down key and an up key.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys to provide an improved, easier, more efficient, more ergonomic method for activation of keys (col. 1, lines 19-28).

46. As per claim 37, Yagi does not expressly teach the selection event is determined to have occurred in response to receiving a signal from a down key and an up key at essentially the same time, and the selection is determined to have occurred in response to a passively selected option by engaging a start and stop key.

Furst teaches to a key and processor configured to control a display by selection of a menu item in response to the key's output (col. 5, lines 45-48 and 67 and col. 6, lines 1-3; i.e. signal).

Furst does not expressly teach to two keys to the simultaneous activation of two keys at essentially the same time.

Klauber teaches to the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys (col.11, lines 55-60).

Klauber teaches to the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys (col.11, lines 55-60).

Klauber teaches the claimed invention except for includes a down key and an up key, and a start and stop key. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use include both a down key and an up key, and a start and stop key, since Applicant has not discloses that a down key and an up key solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the down key and an up key, and a start and stop key.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of Yagi to include the simultaneous activation of two keys, which the processor is configured to determine the activation has occurred in response to receiving an output signal from the two keys to provide an improved, easier, more efficient, more ergonomic method for activation of keys (col. 1, lines 19-28).

***Response to Arguments***

47. Applicant's arguments see Remarks pgs. 12-13, filed 12 May 2008 with respect to claims 29 and 38 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

48. Applicant's arguments see Remarks pgs. 13-20, filed 12 May 2008 with respect to claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

49. In regards to Applicant's arguments that the prior art fails to disclose/teach, the following:

- “adding’ a rotor selection form a home menu” (see Remarks pg. 15, paragraph 1)
- “a home menu having previously selected rotors and an add menu contraining additional rotors” (see Remarks pg. 16, paragraph 16)
- “storing the selected rotor to the run parameter in response to the selection while the previously selected rotor is being displayed (see Remarks pg. 17, paragraph 3)
- “subdividing the rotors into the type of rotors” (see Remarks pg. 18, paragraph 3,

the Examiner recognizes the Applicant has not accounted for the combination of Yagi

Art Unit: 2121

and Furst under 35 U.S.C 103(a) for this limitation as set forth in the Non-Office Action, mailed on 11 January 2008.

50. Applicant argues that the prior art of Yagi teaches away from prior art system of Furst; the Examiner respectfully disagrees. See MPEP 2123, recited below for convenience:

MPEP 2123 states:

"Disclosed examples and preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away from a broader disclosure or nonpreferred embodiments. In re Susi, 440 F.2d 442, 169 USPQ423 (CCPA 1971). "A known or obvious composition does not become patentable simply because it has been described as somewhat inferior to some other product for the same use." In re Gurley, 27 F.3d 551, 554, 31 USPQ2d 1130, 1132 (Fed. Cir. 1994) (The invention was directed to an epoxy impregnated fiber-reinforced printed circuit material. The applied prior art reference taught a printed circuit material similar to that of the claims but impregnated with polyetherimide resin instead of epoxy. The reference, however, disclosed that epoxy was known for this use, but that epoxy impregnated circuit boards have "relatively acceptable dimensional stability" and "some degree of flexibility," but are inferior to circuit boards impregnated with polyetherimide resins. The court upheld the rejection concluding that applicant's argument that the reference teaches away from using epoxy was insufficient to overcome the rejection since "Gurley asserted no discovery beyond what was known in the art." 27 F.3d at 554, 31 USPQ2d at 1132.). Furthermore, "[t]he prior art's mere disclosure of more than one alternative does not constitute a teaching away from any of these alternatives because such disclosure does not criticize, discredit, or otherwise discourage the solution claimed...." In re Fulton, 391 F.3d 1195, 1201, 73 USPQ2d 1141, 1146 (Fed. Cir. 2004)."

51. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant

relies (i.e., "adding a configuration of a system based on a hardware part", see Remarks pg. 16, paragraph 3) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer L. Norton whose telephone number is (571)272-3694. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on 571-272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Albert DeCady/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art  
Unit 2121